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Andreas Scherbaum

- Works with databases since ~1997, with PostgreSQL since ~1998
- Founding member of PGEU
- Board of Directors: PGEU, Orga team for pgconf.[eu|de], FOSDEM
- PostgreSQL Regional Contact for Germany
- Ran my own company around PostgreSQL for 7+ years
- Joined EMC in 2011, then Pivotal, then EMC, then Pivotal, working on PostgreSQL and Greenplum projects
- Run PostgreSQL Meetups in Palo Alto, CA
Social

• Twitter: @ascherbaum

• Google+: https://plus.google.com/106626124305916746070

• Blog: http://andreas.scherbaum.la/
February 17: Pivotal announces that it will Open Source most of its products
9 years of work, in one single commit:

github.com/greenplum-db/g…

#Greenplum @pivotal @Greenplum
What happened between February 2015 and October 2015?
Agenda
Agenda

• What is Greenplum?
• History of Greenplum (aligned to PostgreSQL)
• Why Open Source?
• Challenges
• Lessons Learned
• Q & A
What is Greenplum?

Time for Marketing …
What is Greenplum Database?

- One of these PostgreSQL forks
- Diverged away a long time ago (2007)
  - And now wants to merge again (2015 - ???)
Why another database?

- PostgreSQL is good for OLTP
  - It does not scale well, it does not scale across dozens of machines

- OLAP systems scale to 100s of TBs, or even PBs

- Huge amounts of data must be processed
  - Contrary to “hot spots” in OLTP
  - Full table/partition scans are the norm

- Trend from “Store-everything-Data-Warehouse” to “Analytics-Database-on-everything”
What is Greenplum Database?

- Massive-parallel, shared-nothing database
- Optimized for OLAP and Analytics workloads
Google Trends – Data Warehouse
Google Trends – Analytics Database

![Graph showing trends over time]

- 趋势线显示了在2013年和2015年有显著的峰值
Why another database?

- Many vendors in the market
  - Teradata (founded back in 1979)
  - Exadata (2008)
  - Netezza (1999)
  - …
What is Greenplum Database?

- Massive-parallel, shared-nothing database

- What?
Massive parallel

Master server controls everything

Interconnect: Communication between everyone

Every segment server holds n segment databases

1 (2) Segment Server

n Segment Server
Shared nothing

Separate servers:
Share no hardware or storage
Where is my data?

Data is spread across the segment databases (hopefully evenly distributed)

Segment database with biggest result set will need most time for processing query
Where is my query executed?

All segment databases, in parallel, on their own potion of the data

100% CPU usage guaranteed\(^1\)

\(^1\): conditions apply
But what about joins?

GPDB handles the joins:

• Co-located joins
• Broadcast joins
• Redistribute joins
But what about joins?

Optimal case:
Data is co-located on the same segment databases

No network traffic
And without co-location?

Data is broadcasted or redistributed over the Interconnect

Redistribution:
Small tables

Broadcast:
Big tables

Greenplum is handling the Join
Expansion adds new resources

More hardware:
- more CPU cores
- more RAM
- more disk space
- more I/O

Expansion = new hardware
What else?

- Number of Big Data features
  - Polymorphic storage (row or column based tables)
  - Partitions and dynamic partition elimination
  - Many Windowing Functions
  - Parallel data loading
  - Resource queues (manage number users, CPU and RAM resources)
What else?

- Number of Big Data features
Polymorphic storage

TABLE ‘CUSTOMER’


Column-oriented for COLD DATA
Row-oriented for HOT DATA

Plus compression
Partitioning (including proper SQL Syntax)

DATA SET

Node 1
- Segment 1A
- Segment 1B
- Segment 1C
- Segment 1D

Node 2
- Segment 2A
- Segment 2B
- Segment 2C
- Segment 2D

Node 3
- Segment 3A
- Segment 3B
- Segment 3C
- Segment 3D

Month:
- Jan 2007
- Feb 2007
- Mar 2007
- Apr 2007
- May 2007
- Jun 2007
- Jul 2007
- Aug 2007
- Sep 2007
- Oct 2007
- Nov 2007
- Dec 2007
Parallel Data Loading

- **Master Servers**: Query planning & dispatch
- **gNet Network Interconnect**: ...
- **Segment Servers**: Query processing & data storage
- **External Sources**: Loading, streaming, etc.
- **SQL**: ...
- **ETL**: ...
- **File Systems**: Hadoop
Resource Queues

Queries are evaluated by First In, First Out

Limit resources:
- Number queries
- RAM
- CPU
- Bypass short queries
History of Greenplum
Aligned to PostgreSQL timeline
History of Greenplum

History of Greenplum - 2003

September 2003: Greenplum founded

November 2003: PostgreSQL 7.4 released
Greenplum founded

• Merger of two companies:
  – Didera (DC) + Metapa (NY)
  – Luke Lonergar + Scott Yara
  – Company moved to San Mateo

• Chief Architect: Chuck McDevitt
  – Employee #20 at Teradata

• Products like “BizGres”
  – Discussion: Single node OS, multi node commercial
History of Greenplum – 2004, 2005

2003 2004 2005

January 2005: PostgreSQL 8.0 released
November 2005: PostgreSQL 8.1 released
December 2006: PostgreSQL 8.2 released
History of Greenplum - 2007

2007: Greenplum 3.0 released
Stopped merging with PostgreSQL

2003 2004 2005 2006 2007
History of Greenplum - 2008

2008:
Greenplum 3.1
released

February 2008:
PostgreSQL 8.3
released
Greenplum 3.x

• Logical replication
  – PostgreSQL got replication 3 years later, with v9.0

• Cooperation with Sun
  – GPDB on Sun hardware, running on Solaris
  – Some customers use this up to today

• Stopped merging with PostgreSQL
  – GPDB up to today shows “version 8.2.14”
July 2009: PostgreSQL 8.4 released
History of Greenplum - 2010

- May 2010: Greenplum 4.0 released
- July 2010: Greenplum acquired by EMC
- September 2010: PostgreSQL 9.0 released
Acquisition by EMC

• Already teams in: New Zealand, Australia, China, Silicon Valley, Virginia, Israel, India

• Data Computing Appliance (DCA) v1 released
Greenplum 4.0

- Filesystem based replication
  - Every writing file I/O is replicated from primary to mirror
  - Before that: single node failure renders the cluster read only

- Data Science Team
History of Greenplum - 2011

Mar 2011: Greenplum 4.1 released
November 2011: Greenplum 4.2 released

September 2011: PostgreSQL 9.1 released
2011

- MADlib
2011

- Greenplum Hadoop distribution
- Interconnect switches to UDP
  - TCP does not scale
  - Packet verification on top of UDP
History of Greenplum - 2012

November 2012: Greenplum 4.2.3 released

September 2012: PostgreSQL 9.2 released
History of Greenplum - 2013

February 2013: Greenplum 4.2.4 released
April 2013: Greenplum 4.2.5 released

September 2013: PostgreSQL 9.3 released
2013

• April 2013: EMC & VMware spin off Pivotal
History of Greenplum - 2014

January 2014: Greenplum 4.3 released

December 2014: PostgreSQL 9.4 released
2014

- Append-only tables -> Append-optimized tables
  - Allows update in append-only tables

- WAL Replication backported from PostgreSQL
  - For Master -> Slave replication
  - Primary -> Mirror still uses file replication
History of Greenplum - 2015

Open Source


...
2015

- February 17: Pivotal announces that it will Open Source most of its products
Summary

Pivotal intends to open source core components of its big data products: Pivotal HAWQ®, Pivotal Greenplum®, Database and Pivotal GemFire®.

Pivotal Big Data Suite to offer enterprises greater data agility and speedier cloud deployments.

Single subscription for big data deployments for application developers and data analysts.

Pivotal Big Data Suite to include new entitlements for Pivotal Cloud Foundry and new application services.

Strategic alliances to provide a unified and open approach for Hadoop, removing lock-in and providing a more stable and predictable Hadoop distribution.

At 11:00am PST today, Pivotal will host a live streaming event to expand on today’s news. To join, visit http://bit.ly/lvR629D.
And after the announcements?

• Silence for several months …
And after the announcements?

• Silence for several months …

• But in the background, a lot happened
  – Number of PostgreSQL contributors join Pivotal:
    – Heikki Linnakangas, Daniel Gustafsson, Dave Cramer, Atri Sharma
And after the announcements?

- Silence for several months …
- But in the background, a lot happened
  - Number of PostgreSQL contributors join Pivotal:
    - Heikki Linnakangas, Daniel Gustafsson, Dave Cramer, Atri Sharma
- June 2015: Apache Geode (Gemfire)
And after the announcements?

- Silence for several months …

- But in the background, a lot happened
  - Number of PostgreSQL contributors join Pivotal:
    - Heikki Linnakangas, Daniel Gustafsson, Dave Cramer, Atri Sharma

- Pivotal is busy releasing all products into Open Source
  - June 2015: Apache Geode (Gemfire)
  - October 2015 (pgconf.eu): Greenplum Database
  - January 2016: Apache HAWQ (SQL on Hadoop)
Greenplum Open Source

Andreas Scherbaum
@ascherbaum

9 years of work, in one single commit:

github.com/greenplum-db/g…

#Greenplum @pivotal @Greenplum

RETWEETS  GEFÄLLT
5          8

03:31 - 29. Okt. 2015
Greenplum Open Source

- Lives on GitHub
- Based on PostgreSQL 8.2 commits
  - Preserves the history
Future of Greenplum - 2016

Merge with PostgreSQL 8.3 going on

January 2016: PostgreSQL 9.5 released
Future of Greenplum

Merge with recent PostgreSQL versions

Why Open Source?
Why go Open Source?

“Opening things up is incredibly healthy for the company”
- For all products
- Forced us to clean things up
- Having to justify the decisions help in better communicating internally and externally
Why go Open Source?

- Customers more and more request Open Source products in RFPs
- Customers do not want to be locked in into one vendor or product (anymore)
- A healthy community improves the development process
- Restructure of the code to provide better development workflow
Why go Open Source?

• And of course: People love and live Open Source
  – Pivotal Labs was a company living Open Source
Challenges

Roadblocks along the way …
Challenges

• How much time do you have?
Challenges

• License
  – Which license is compatible and sufficient
  – Settled with Apache License: PostgreSQL License has no patent protection

• Patents
  – Existing patents can’t be ignored

• Project owner / Governance model
  – Make it an ASF project?
  – Give it to the PostgreSQL Project? (they refused)
Challenges

• Customer names in code
  – Quite a challenge with ~1000 current customers

• Dependencies on internal build system
  – Only available in VPN and office network

• One single repository
  – Perforce, Copies in Atlassian Stash
Challenges

• Test systems
  – Test systems incomplete
  – Too many test systems
  – Test systems to complex
  – Test systems too big
    ▪ tests run for several hours
    ▪ GBs of test data
  – Most test systems only accessible for Pivotal
Challenges

• CVEs
  – Followed up with each and every PostgreSQL CVEs

• Static code scans
  – Two different products
  – Plenty of false positives
Challenges

- **Black Duck scans**
  - Scans for license violations

- **Software with incompatible license**
  - One of our compression algorithm allows GPL (invasive), or commercial license
Challenges

• Caring parents …
  – EMC is not known for being an Open Source company

• Split time between going open source and handling customer escalations

• Open Source as a strategy, business model

• What is the IP portfolio?
Challenges

- Tension between commercial interests and open source interests
- PostgreSQL
Patent warning about the Greenplum source code

From: Bruce Momjian <bruce(at)momjian(dot)us>
To: PostgreSQL-development <pgsql-hackers(at)postgresql(dot)org>
Subject: Patent warning about the Greenplum source code
Date: 2015-10-30 08:47:35
Message-ID: 20151030084735.GA23947@momjian.us (view raw or whole thread)
Thread: 2015-10-30 08:47:35 from Bruce Momjian <bruce(at)momjian(dot)us>
Lists:pgsql-hackers

Some of you might have seen that the Greenplum database source code has been published:


under the Apache 2.0 license:

http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0

The source code has known patents owned by Pivotal/Greenplum. The license has a patent grant clause:
Ongoing challenges

• Documentation is partly open, partly closed
  – Updating documentation is a challenge

• Culture change: employee -> community
  – Have every product related discussion in the public

• Have code open for inspection by customers, competitors
  – They can find problems, bugs, or just copy ideas
  – Discussion about a bug bounty program
Lawyers

• “Programmers all think they are lawyers”
• You need them in the process. Yes, really.
  – Talk with them, early, and often
Lessons learned

History repeats itself ...
Think twice before you fork

- Overwhelming opinion today is that forking PostgreSQL (and not merging new versions) was a mistake
  - Over time, more and more desired features showed up in PostgreSQL
Think early about where you go

- Choice between Apache Software Foundation and PostgreSQL Project
  - ASF is not a good fit
  - PG did not want to “adopt” GP
  - Quote: in PG everything is just opinion (“503 in Canada”)
Development workflow

• GitHub is cool, but workflow is a bit different
  – PostgreSQL (and Greenplum) do not merge
  – The “Merge” button is in the way, too many people just hit it

• We missed setting up the Contributor License Agreement (CLA) process
  – Explain the CLA in detail!
  – This is not about signing over code to Pivotal exclusively.
CLA not provided

Hello andreasscherbaum!

Thanks for submitting this pull request!

All pull request authors must have a Contributor License Agreement (CLA) on-file with us. Please sign the appropriate CLA (individual or corporate).

When sending signed CLA please provide your github username in case of individual CLA or the list of github usernames that can make pull requests on behalf of your organization.

If you are confident that you're covered under a Corporate CLA, please make sure you’ve publicized your membership in the appropriate Github Org, per these instructions.
Hello andreasscherbaum!

Thanks for submitting this pull request! I'm here to inform the recipients of the pull request that you've already signed the CLA.
The small things

• Domain(s)
  – We literally got greenplum.org back a few days before the release

• Website
  – You think it’s still time – until it’s too late

• Mailinglists
  – Which ones, and how many
  – Have everyone in your company subscribed to the lists

• Talk with your lawyers, frequently
Go public

• We decided early to announce at pgconf.eu 2015
  – Choice between pgconfSV and pgconf.eu
  – Gives you a fixed timeline – which is good and bad

• Have swag ;-) 
  – Marketing supported the Open Source announcement with nice merchandising articles
Q & A

Time for your questions ...
Thanks to (in no particular order)

- Mike Waas
- Lyublena Antova
- John Eshleman
- Ivan Novick
- Roman Shaposhnik

- Elisabeth Hendrickson
- Caleb Welton
- Ed Espino
- George Tuma
Upcoming conferences

- PostgreSQL Conference Europe
  - Tallinn, Estonia
  - November 1 – 4, 2016
  - Radisson Blu Hotel Olümpia